

From: Steve Ackerlund
To: Dina Johnson; "Greene, Nikia"; "Griffin, Joe"
Cc: "Dan Strausbaugh"; "DeWitt, Lisa"; Griffin.Susan@epamail.epa.gov; "Harris, Cord"; "Larry Scusa"; "Michelle Watters"; "Nikia Greene"; "R. S. Dan Powers"; Rosalind Schoof; "Sara Sparks"; "Helen Joyce"; jcornish@pioneer-technical.com; john.pullman@mercurystmed.com; Shannon.holland@sjh-mt.org
Subject: RE: Draft BPSOU Public Health Study Report Deliverable
Date: Thursday, February 27, 2014 8:54:19 AM

Hey all,

I just this morning received approval of a budget through June. I've just read through the Public Health Statement and wanted to give an advance of thoughts, to help with turn around, while I glance through the rest.

I think it's really nice and useful to the public, so thanks. A few small suggestions"

- After the first three bullets, consider a concluding statement like, "The understanding gained is applied toward making recommendations for improving the RMAP program."
- Your second header, "Blood lead levels provide..." is not addressed by the text. That is, you don't explain why blood lead is a good indicator of exposure. Also, too, the goals and standards are brought in rather late in the summary, and could be brought into a new paragraph here that addresses the header. I would add that there is no known beneficial effect of exposure, that the goal is no exposure but that there are standards (5 for CDC, 10 for EPA). The text is good, but maybe needs a different header.
- Third header, revise to: "...Levels in Butte Have Declined..."
- Page iii, second full paragraph, last sentence. Edit to: "...cannot be identified conclusively proven based on this analysis...reductions in other lead exposures achieved through other government programs."
- Header on page iv, revise to: "The RMAP ~~has been~~ continues to be and important..."

I expect the reasons for the changes are self-explanatory, but I am available if there are questions. I grant liberty to respond to these suggestions as you deem best. Thanks.

Steve Ackerlund, PhD
406-461-6354

From: Dina Johnson [mailto:DLJohnson@environcorp.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 19, 2014 7:50 PM
To: Greene, Nikia; Griffin, Joe
Cc: Dan Strausbaugh; DeWitt, Lisa; Dina Johnson; 'Griffin.Susan@epamail.epa.gov'; Harris, Cord; 'Larry Scusa (LScusa@mt.gov)'; 'Michelle Watters (Watters.Michelle@epamail.epa.gov)'; 'Nikia Greene'; R. S. Dan Powers (dpowers@bsb.mt.gov); Rosalind Schoof; 'Sara Sparks'; Steve Ackerlund (steve.ackerlund@bresnan.net); 'Helen Joyce' (helen.joyce@mse-ta.com); jcornish@pioneer-technical.com; 'john.pullman@mercurystmed.com'; 'Shannon.holland@sjh-mt.org'
Subject: Draft BPSOU Public Health Study Report Deliverable

Dear Nikia and Joe:

On behalf of BSB and AR, we are pleased to provide you with the attached report titled, "DRAFT Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Public Health Study, Phase 1" (the Draft Report). It is our understanding that you intend to release the Draft Report to the public, initiating a 60-day public comment period, on February 28, 2014.

Prior to public release of the document, we understand that you will seek confirmation from the Butte Health Study Working Group and CAC Members that comments they submitted on the prior Working Group draft report have been adequately addressed with the attached Draft Report. To facilitate their review, we are including a redline markup of the changes reflected in the Draft Report, but request this markup is not included in the materials released for public review. A couple of items to note regarding the attached redline markup:

1. A preface and public health statement were added to the Draft Report and did not appear in the prior Working Group draft report.
2. The prior Working Group draft report's executive summary has been replaced by a shorter, simplified executive summary in the Draft Report.
3. The Draft Report re-orders the primary study analysis sections (4 and 5), but redlines reflecting that change have been omitted from the redline version attached to facilitate review of other substantive changes within those sections.
4. Redlines to table and figure changes have been accepted to make these items more readable in the redline markup attached.
5. Appendices were not modified based on comments and are not included in the redline markup.

Should Working Group and CAC Members have questions about the revisions reflected in the Draft Report, which need to be addressed prior to release on February 28th, we respectfully request they contact us no later than Monday, February 24th to ensure sufficient time to follow up before February 28th.

We greatly appreciate all of the time and energy the Working Group and CAC have committed thus far toward collaborative development of the attached Draft Report. We look forward to continued collaboration in planning the upcoming public meeting to review the Draft Report.

Best regards,

Dina



Dina L. Johnson | Senior Manager

ENVIRON International Corporation

901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2820 | Seattle, WA 98164

T: +1 206 336 1662 | F: +1 206 336 1651 | M: +1 425 765 1218

DLJohnson@environcorp.com

This message contains information that may be confidential, privileged or otherwise protected by law from disclosure. It is intended for the exclusive use of the Addressee(s). Unless you are the addressee or authorized agent of the addressee, you may not review, copy, distribute or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained within. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender by electronic reply to email@environcorp.com and immediately delete all copies of the message.